

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TATYANA KAMINSKY,
Plaintiff,

v.

KIMBERLITE CORPORATION, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [14-cv-00418-MEJ](#)

**ORDER FINDING AS MOOT MOTION
TO DISMISS**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 5, 11

Pending before the Court is Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. Dkt. No. 5. However, on February 18, 2014, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint. Dkt. No. 11. Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15, a party may amend its pleading once "as a matter of course" within "21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f)." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B). Thus, as no prior amended complaints have been filed, Plaintiff was entitled to file an amended complaint as a matter of course under Rule 15(a). Once properly served, the amended complaint supersedes the original complaint, which is treated as non-existent. Since Defendant's motion is based on Plaintiff's original complaint, the Court hereby DENIES Defendant's motion as moot. Defendant shall file an answer or other responsive pleading within 21 days from the date of this Order.

Plaintiff is advised that no further amendments may be made without seeking leave of Court pursuant to Rule 15 and Civil Local Rule 7.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 19, 2014



MARIA-ELENA JAMES
United States Magistrate Judge